arXiv:0810.5423v1 [math.NA] 30 Oct 2008

PROPERTIES OF DISCRETE ANALOGUE OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$

Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov

Abstract. In the paper properties of the discrete analogue $D_m(h\beta)$ of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ are studied. It is known, that zeros of differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ are functions e^x, e^{-x} and $P_{2m-3}(x)$. It is proved that discrete analogue $D_m(h\beta)$ of this differential operator also have similar properties.

MSC 2000: 65D32.

Key words: discrete function, discrete analogue of a differential operator, Euler polynomial.

Present work is dedicated to investigation of properties of the discrete analogue of one differential operator. We give necessary definitions and formulas.

Definition 1. *Function $\varphi[\beta]=\varphi[\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_n]$ is called by function of discrete argument, if it given on some set of integer values $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_n)$.*

Definition 2. *By inner product $[\varphi,\psi]$ of two discrete functions $\varphi[\beta]$ and $\psi[\beta]$ is called the number*

$$[\varphi,\psi]=\sum_{\beta\in B}\varphi[\beta]\bar{\psi}[\beta], \tag{1}$$

if the series in right hand side of (1) converge absolutely. Here B is a domain of definition of $\varphi[\beta]$ and $\psi[\beta]$.

Definition 3. *By convolution $\varphi[\beta]*\psi[\beta]$ of two functions $\varphi[\beta]$ and $\psi[\beta]$ is called the inner product*

$$\chi[\beta]=\varphi[\beta]*\psi[\beta]=[\varphi[\gamma],\bar{\psi}[\beta-\gamma]].$$

Following formula holds [1]

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^{n-1}q^k\gamma^k=\frac{1}{1-q}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^i\Delta^i 0^k-\frac{q^n}{1-q}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^i\Delta^i\gamma^k|_{\gamma=n}. \tag{2}$$

When $|q|<1$ from (2) we have

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^{\infty}q^k\gamma^k=\frac{1}{1-q}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^i\Delta^i 0^k. \tag{3}$$

Euler polynomial $E_k(\lambda)$ [2] is given by following formula

$$E_k(\lambda)=\frac{(1-\lambda)^{k+2}}{\lambda}D^k\frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2},\text{ where } D=\lambda\frac{d}{d\lambda},\ D^k=\lambda\frac{d}{d\lambda}D^{k-1}. \tag{4}$$

For Euler polynomials following theorem is valid [3]

Theorem 1. *Polynomials*

$$P_k(x)=(x-1)^{k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\frac{\Delta^i 0^{k+1}}{(x-1)^i}$$

and

$$P_k(\frac{1}{x}) = (\frac{1}{x}-1)^{k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)\Delta^i 0^{k+1}$$

are Euler polynomials $E_k(x) = P_k(x)$ and $E_k(\frac{1}{x}) = P_k(\frac{1}{x})$ respectively.

In construction of optimal quadrature and cubature formulas the discrete analog $D_{m,n}[\beta]$ of the polyharmonic operator $\Delta^m = (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}+...+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2})^m$ plays main role in $L_2^{(m)}(R^n)$ space. First in $L_2^{(m)}(R^n)$ space construction and investigation of properties of convolution of discrete operator with function $G_{m,n}[\beta]$, where $G_{m,n}(x)$ is a fundamental solution of the polyharmonic operator, were studied by Sobolev [4]. The discrete argument function $D_{m,n}[\beta]$ satisfies following equality

$$D_{m,n}[\beta] * G_{m,n}[\beta] = \delta[\beta],$$

where $\delta[\beta]$ is equal to 1 as $\beta = 0$, is equal to 0 as $\beta \neq 0$. Sobolev gave the algorithm for finding of function $D_{m,n}[\beta]$ and proved several properties of this function.

In one dimensional case, i.e. in $L_2^{(m)}(R)$ space discrete analogue of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}$ was constructed by Z.J.Jamalov [5,6]. But there were not found $m+1$ unknown coefficients. In the work [7] were found expressions of that unknown coefficients and discrete analogue of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}$ was completely constructed.

In construction of the optimal quadrature formulas in $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$ space with the help of Sobolev's algorithm [4] as above we need to construct a discrete analogue of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ instead of operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}$. Here $W_2^{(m,m-1)}$ is Hilbert space and norm of a function from this space is given by formula:

$$\|\varphi(x)|W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)\| = \left[\int_0^1 \left(\varphi^{(m)}(x)+\varphi^{(m-1)}(x)\right)^2 dx\right]^{1/2}.$$

In work [8] was constructed the discrete analogue $D_m(h\beta)$ of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$, which satisfies following equality

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \delta(h\beta), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\psi_m(x) = \frac{\mathrm{sign}x}{2}\left(\frac{e^x-e^{-x}}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}\right). \tag{6}$$

is a solution of equation

$$\left(\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}\right)\psi(x) = \delta(x), \tag{7}$$

$$\delta(h\beta)=\begin{cases}1, & \beta=0,\\ 0, & \beta\neq 0.\end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Discrete function $D_m(h\beta)$ plays important role in construction of optimal quadrature formulas in $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$ space. For the discrete operator $D_m(h\beta)$ following theorem holds.

Theorem 2 [8]. *Discrete analogue of the differential operator* $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ *satisfying equality (5) has following form:*

$$D_m(h\beta)=\frac{1}{p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}}\begin{cases}\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{|\beta|-1}, & |\beta|\geq 2;\\ -2e^h+\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k, & |\beta|=1;\\ 2C+\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}, & \beta=0,\end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where

$$C=1+(2m-2)e^h+e^{2h}+\frac{e^h\cdot p_{2m-3}^{(2m-2)}}{p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}}, \tag{10}$$

$$A_k=\frac{2(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}[\lambda_k(e^{2h}+1)-e^h(\lambda_k^2+1)]p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}}{\lambda_k P'_{2m-2}(\lambda_k)}, \tag{11}$$

$$P_{2m-2}(\lambda)=\sum_{s=0}^{2m-2}p_s^{(2m-2)}\lambda^s=(1-e^{2h})(1-\lambda)^{2m-2}-2(\lambda(e^{2h}+1)-e^h(\lambda^2+1))\times$$

$$\times\left[h(1-\lambda)^{2m-4}+\frac{h^3(1-\lambda)^{2m-6}}{3!}E_2(\lambda)+...+\frac{h^{2m-3}E_{2m-4}(\lambda)}{(2m-3)!}\right], \tag{12}$$

$p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}$, $p_{2m-3}^{(2m-2)}$ *are coefficients of polynomial* $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, λ_k *are roots of polynomial* $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, $|\lambda_k|<1$, $E_k(\lambda)$ *is Euler polynomial [2].*

Aim of the present work is investigation of properties of the discrete analogue $D_m(h\beta)$ of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$. It is known, that zeros of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ are e^x, e^{-x} functions and a polynomial $P_{2m-3}(x)$ of degree $2m-3$. The discrete operator $D_m(h\beta)$ also has similar properties, i.e. following is valid

Theorem 3. *The discrete analogue* $D_m(h\beta)$ *of the differential operator* $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}-\frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ *when* $m=1,2,3$ *satisfies following equalities*

1) $D_m(h\beta)*e^{h\beta}=0$;

2) $D_m(h\beta)*e^{-h\beta}=0$;

3) $D_m(h\beta)*(h\beta)^n=0$, $n\leq 2m-3$, *i.e. convolution of* $D_m(h\beta)$ *with a polynomial of degree* $\leq 2m-3$ *when* $m=2,3$ *is equal to zero*;

4) $D_m(h\beta)*\psi_m(h\beta)=\delta(h\beta)$, *where* $\psi_m(h\beta)$ *and* $\delta(h\beta)$ *are defined by (6) and (8), respectively.*

Proof of theorem 3.

We prove the theorem by direct calculation of all convolutions.

1). For convenience leading coefficient of the polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$ we denote by:

$$p = p_0^{(2m-2)} = p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)} = (1-e^{2h}) + 2e^h\left(h + \frac{h^3}{3!} + ... + \frac{h^{2m-3}}{(2m-3)!}\right). \tag{13}$$

Using the definition of convolution of discrete functions and equality (9), we calculate convolution $D_m(h\beta) * e^{h\beta}$:

$$F_1 = D_m(h\beta) * e^{h\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma)e^{h\beta-h\gamma} = e^{h\beta}\sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma)e^{-h\gamma} =$$

$$= \frac{e^{h\beta}}{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty}\left[(\lambda_k e^h)^\gamma + (\frac{\lambda_k}{e^h})^\gamma\right] - 2(e^{2h}+1) + 2C + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\right). \tag{14}$$

Since $|\lambda_k e^h| < 1$ and $|\frac{\lambda_k}{e^h}| < 1$, then infinite series in equality (14) are convergent. Then

$$F_1 = \frac{e^{h\beta}}{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\left[\frac{\lambda_k e^h}{1-\lambda_k e^h} + \frac{\lambda_k}{e^h - \lambda_k}\right] - 2(e^{2h}+1) + 2C + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\right).$$

Hence, taking into account equalities (13), (11) and after some simplifications, we get

$$F_1 = \frac{2e^{h\beta+h}}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}}{\lambda_k \prod\limits_{\substack{i=1, i\neq k\\ i\neq 2m-1-k}}^{2m-2}(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)} - \frac{(1-\lambda_k)^2}{\lambda_k}\right). \tag{15}$$

Let $m = 1$, then from (15) we obtain, that $F_1 = D_1(h\beta) * e^{h\beta} = 0$.

Let $m = 2$, then equality (15) gives

$$F_1 = D_2(h\beta) * e^{h\beta} = \frac{2e^{h\beta+h}}{p}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_k)^2}{\lambda_k} - \frac{(1-\lambda_k)^2}{\lambda_k}\right) = 0$$

Now, let $m = 3$, then from (15) we get

$$F_1 = D_3(h\beta) * e^{h\beta} = \frac{2e^{h\beta+h}}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}}{\lambda_k \prod\limits_{\substack{i=1, i\neq k\\ i\neq 5-k}}^{4}(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)} - \frac{(1-\lambda_k)^2}{\lambda_k}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{2e^{h\beta+h}}{p}\Big(\frac{(1-\lambda_1)^4}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)} -$$

$$-\frac{(1-\lambda_1)^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{(1-\lambda_2)^4}{\lambda_2(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(\lambda_2-\lambda_4)} - \frac{(1-\lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_2}\Big).$$

Hence, taking into account $\lambda_1\lambda_4 = 1$, $\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 1$ and after some calculations we have

$$F_1 = \frac{2e^{h\beta+h}}{p}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_1)^2(1-\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1\lambda_2-1)} - \frac{(1-\lambda_1)^2(1-\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1\lambda_2-1)}\right) = 0.$$

2). Now consider convolution $F_2 = D_m(h\beta) * e^{-h\beta}$.

$$F_2 = D_m(h\beta) * e^{-h\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma)e^{-h\beta+h\gamma} = e^{-h\beta} \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma)e^{h\gamma}.$$

Since $D_m(h\beta)$ is even function, then

$$F_2 = e^{-2h\beta} F_1.$$

Hence, taking account of 1), when $m = 1, 2, 3$ we obtain, that $F_2 = 0$.

3). Consider convolution $F_3 = D_m(h\beta) * (h\beta)^n$.

Let $n = 0$, then in $m \geq 2$ taking into account (9) we have

$$D_m(h\beta) * 1 = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma) = 2\sum_{\gamma=2}^{\infty} +2D_m(h) + D_m(0) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k \frac{1+\lambda_k}{\lambda_k(1-\lambda_k)} - 4e^h + 2C\right). \qquad (16)$$

For $m = 2$ from (16), taking account of equalities (10), (11), we have

$$D_2(h\beta) * 1 = \frac{1}{p}\left(A_1 \frac{1+\lambda_1}{\lambda_1(1-\lambda_1)} - e^h \frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\lambda_1} + 2(e^{2h}+1)\right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}\left(-2(e^{2h}+1) + 2e^h \frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\lambda_1} + 2(e^{2h}+1) - 2e^h \frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\lambda_1}\right) = 0. \qquad (17)$$

For $m = 3$ from (16), taking account of (10), we get

$$D_3(h\beta) * 1 =$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{A_1(1+\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1(1-\lambda_1)} + \frac{A_2(1+\lambda_2)}{\lambda_2(1-\lambda_2)} - \frac{2e^h(\lambda_1^2+1)}{\lambda_1} - \frac{2e^h(\lambda_2^2+1)}{\lambda_2} + 2(e^h+1)^2\right).$$

Hence, using (11), after simplifications we obtain

$$D_3(h\beta) * 1 = \frac{1}{p}\Big(- 2(e^h+1)^2 + 2e^h \frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\lambda_1} + 2e^h \frac{\lambda_2^2+1}{\lambda_2} +$$

$$+2(e^h+1)^2 - 2e^h \frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\lambda_1} - 2e^h \frac{\lambda_2^2+1}{\lambda_2}\Big) = 0. \qquad (18)$$

Let now $n = 1$, then, using equalities (17), (18) and taking into account of evenness of the function $D_m(h\beta)$, for $m = 2$ we get

$$D_2(h\beta) * (h\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_2(h\gamma)(h\beta - h\gamma) = h\beta \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_2(h\gamma) - \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_2(h\gamma)(h\gamma) = 0 \qquad (19)$$

and also for $m = 3$ we obtain

$$D_3(h\beta) * (h\beta) = 0. \qquad (20)$$

Let $n = 2$, then taking into account (9), (18), (20) for $m = 3$ we have

$$D_3(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2 = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_3(h\gamma)(h\beta - h\gamma)^2 = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_3(h\gamma)(h\gamma)^2 =$$

$$= \frac{2h^2}{p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{A_k(1+\lambda_k)}{(1-\lambda_k)^3} - 2e^h\right).$$

Hence using (11) and after simplifications we get

$$D_3(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2 = \frac{4h^2}{p}\left(\frac{e^h(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1\lambda_2-1)}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1\lambda_2-1)} - e^h\right) = 0. \tag{21}$$

For $n = 3$ and $m = 3$, taking account of (18), (20), (21) and evenness of the function $D_m(h\beta)$, we obtain

$$D_3(h\beta) * (h\beta)^3 = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_3(h\gamma)(h\beta - h\gamma)^3 = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_3(h\gamma)(h\gamma)^3 = 0.$$

4). Consider convolution $F_4 = D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \delta(h\beta)$. Taking into account evenness of functions $D_m(h\beta)$ and $\psi_m(h\beta)$ and definition of convolution of discrete functions, we obtain

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma)\psi_m(h\beta - h\gamma)|_{\beta=0} = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma)\psi(h\beta).$$

Hence, taking account of (6) and (9) we have

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} = \frac{2}{p}\left(-2e^h + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k\right)\cdot\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e^h - e^{-h}}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{2}{p}\sum_{\gamma=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k\lambda_k^{\gamma-1}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e^{h\gamma} - e^{-h\gamma}}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\gamma)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}\left(1 - e^{2h} + 2e^h\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k\left(\frac{e^h - e^{-h}}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\sum_{\gamma=2}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\left(\frac{e^{h\gamma} - e^{-h\gamma}}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\gamma)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right). \tag{22}$$

Using (13) and taking into account convergence of infinite series in equality (22), we get

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} = 1 + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\Big(\frac{\lambda_k e^h}{2(1-\lambda_k e^h)} -$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_k e^{-h}}{2(1-\lambda_k e^{-h})} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\gamma^{2j-1}\Big).eqno(23)$$

Using definition and properties of Euler polynomial, and also (3), we get

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\gamma^{2j-1} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_k}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{1-\lambda_k}\right)^i \Delta^i 0^{2j-1} =$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_k^{2j-1}}{(1-\lambda_k)^{2j}} E_{2j-2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\right) = \frac{\lambda_k}{(1-\lambda_k)^{2j}} E_{2j-2}(\lambda_k). \tag{24}$$

Here $E_{2j-2}(\lambda)$ is Euler polynomial of degree $2j-2$.

From (23), taking account of (24), we have

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} = 1 + \frac{1}{2p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k \Big(\frac{e^h}{1-\lambda_k e^h} - \frac{1}{e^h - \lambda_k} -$$

$$-2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{h^{2j-1}E_{2j-2}(\lambda_k)}{(2j-1)! \cdot (1-\lambda_k)^{2j}} \Big).$$

Hence, keeping in mind that λ_k is a root of polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$ and using (12), we get

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} = 1 + \frac{1}{2p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k \frac{P_{2m-2}(\lambda_k)}{(\lambda_k e^h - 1)(e^h - \lambda_k)(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}} = 1.$$

Should be noted, that he we obtained

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\gamma} \psi_m(h\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\gamma} \left(\frac{e^{h\gamma} - e^{-h\gamma}}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(h\gamma)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{P_{2m-2}(\lambda_k)}{2(\lambda_k e^h - 1)(e^h - \lambda_k)(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}} = 0 \tag{25}$$

Now we prove, that in any $\beta \neq 0$, β is a integer and $m = 1, 2, 3$ following holds

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = 0$$

Using the definition of convolution of discrete functions we get

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta + h\gamma)\psi_m(h\gamma) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta - h\gamma)\psi_m(h\gamma).$$

From here one can see, that it is sufficient to consider case $\beta > 0$.

Let $\beta > 0$, then

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2} D_m(h\beta - h\gamma)\psi_m(h\gamma) + D_m(h)\psi_m(h\beta - h) +$$

$$+ D_m(0)\psi_m(h\beta) + D_m(-h)\psi_m(h\beta + h) + \sum_{\beta+2}^{\infty} D_m(h\gamma - h\beta)\psi_m(h\gamma) +$$

$$+ \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta + h\gamma)\psi_m(h\gamma).$$

Hence, keeping in mind (9), we obtain

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k \lambda_k^{\beta-\gamma-1}\psi_m(h\gamma) + D_m(h)\psi_m(h\beta - h) +$$

$$+D_m(0)\psi_m(h\beta)+D_m(-h)\psi_m(h\beta+h)+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\beta+2}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{\gamma-\beta-1}\psi_m(h\beta)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{\beta+\gamma-1}\psi_m(h\gamma)=D_m(h)\psi_m(h\beta-h)+$$

$$+D_m(0)\psi_m(h\beta)+D_m(-h)\psi_m(h\beta+h)+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{\beta-1}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{-\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma)-$$

$$-\frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{-\beta-1}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta+1}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma)+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{-\beta-1}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{\beta-1}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma) \tag{26}$$

We separately calculate

$$A=\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{-\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma)\text{ and }B=\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma).$$

a). Denoting $\lambda_{2k}=\lambda_k^{-1}=\lambda_{2m-1-k}$ and using (6), we have

$$A=\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{-\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma)=\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{-\gamma}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e^{h\gamma}-e^{-h\gamma}}{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\gamma)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_{2k}^{\gamma}e^{h\gamma}-\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_{2k}^{\gamma}e^{-h\gamma}-2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_{2k}^{\gamma}\gamma^{2j-1}\right).$$

From here, using formulas (2) and (3), we get

$$A=\frac{1}{4}\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_{2k}e^h}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^h}-\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{e^h-\lambda_{2k}}-2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_{2k})}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1-\lambda_{2k}}\right)^i\Delta^i0^{2j-1}-$$

$$-\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^h)^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^h}+\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^{-h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^{-h}}+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}\lambda_{2k}^{\beta-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_{2k})}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1-\lambda_{2k}}\right)^i\Delta^i(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg).$$

Whence, taking into account definition and properties of Euler polynomial and also formula (12), we obtain

$$A=\frac{1}{4}\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_{2k}P_{2m-2}(\lambda_{2k})}{(\lambda_{2k}e^h-1)(e^h-\lambda_{2k})(1-\lambda_{2k})^{2m-1}}-\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^h)^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^h}+$$

$$+\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^{-h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^{-h}}+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}\lambda_{2k}^{\beta-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_{2k})}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1-\lambda_{2k}}\right)^i\Delta^i(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg).$$

Since λ_k is a root of polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, then we have

$$A=\frac{1}{4}\Bigg(-\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^h)^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^h}+\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^{-h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^{-h}}+$$

$$+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}\lambda_{2k}^{\beta-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_{2k})}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1-\lambda_{2k}}\right)^{i}\Delta^{i}(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg).$$

б). As in case a), by using formulas (6), (2), (3), (12) and taking into account that λ_k is a root of polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, we have

$$B=\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\psi_m(h\gamma)=\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta-2}\lambda_k^{\gamma}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e^{h\gamma}-e^{-h\gamma}}{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\gamma)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{4}\Bigg(-\frac{(\lambda_k e^{h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_k e^{h}}+\frac{(\lambda_k e^{-h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_k e^{-h}}+$$

$$+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}\lambda_k^{\beta-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_k)}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{1-\lambda_k}\right)^{i}\Delta^{i}(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg).$$

Keeping in mind A and B from (26) we get

$$D_m(h\beta)*\psi_m(h\beta)=\frac{1}{4p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{\beta-1}\Bigg(-\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^{h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^{h}}+\frac{(\lambda_{2k}e^{-h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_{2k}e^{-h}}+$$

$$+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}\lambda_{2k}^{\beta-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_{2k})}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2k}}{1-\lambda_{2k}}\right)^{i}\Delta^{i}(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg)-$$

$$-\frac{1}{4p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{-\beta-1}\Bigg(-\frac{(\lambda_k e^{h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_k e^{h}}+\frac{(\lambda_k e^{-h})^{\beta-1}}{1-\lambda_k e^{-h}}+$$

$$+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}\lambda_k^{\beta-1}}{(2j-1)!\cdot(1-\lambda_k)}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{1-\lambda_k}\right)^{i}\Delta^{i}(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg)-$$

$$-\frac{1}{2p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\lambda_k^{-2}\Bigg(\frac{e^{h\beta-h}-e^{h-h\beta}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\beta-h)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}+\lambda_k\frac{e^{h\beta}-e^{-h\beta}}{2}-$$

$$-\lambda_k\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\beta)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}+\lambda_k^{2}\frac{e^{h\beta+h}-e^{-h-h\beta}}{2}-\lambda_k^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\beta+h)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\Bigg)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2p}\left(-2e^{h}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\right)\left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h}-e^{h-h\beta}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\beta-h)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2p}\left(2C+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}\right)\left(\frac{e^{h\beta}-e^{-h\beta}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\beta)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2p}\left(-2e^{h}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\right)\left(\frac{e^{h\beta+h}-e^{-h-h\beta}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{(h\beta+h)^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\right). \qquad (27)$$

Now in equality (27) we will group coefficients of $e^{h\beta}$, $e^{-h\beta}$ and $(h\beta)^n$.

First consider coefficients of $e^{h\beta}$. From (27), using (9), (10), (11), after some calculation we get

$$\frac{e^{h\beta+h}}{2p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}}{\lambda_k\prod\limits_{\substack{i=1,i\neq k\\ i\neq 2m-1-k}}^{2m-2}(\lambda_k-\lambda_i)}-\frac{(\lambda_k-1)^2}{\lambda_k}\right).$$

Hence for $m=1,2,3$, taking account of (15), we obtain

$$\frac{e^{h\beta+h}}{2p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}}{\lambda_k\prod\limits_{\substack{i=1,i\neq k\\ i\neq 2m-1-k}}^{2m-2}(\lambda_k-\lambda_i)}-\frac{(\lambda_k-1)^2}{\lambda_k}\right)=0.$$

Now consider coefficients of $e^{-h\beta}$. From (27), taking into account equalities (9), (10), (11), (15) for $m=1,2,3$, we get

$$-\frac{e^{-h\beta+h}}{2p}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2}}{\lambda_k\prod\limits_{\substack{i=1,i\neq k\\ i\neq 2m-1-k}}^{2m-2}(\lambda_k-\lambda_i)}-\frac{(\lambda_k-1)^2}{\lambda_k}\right)=0.$$

We consider coefficients of $(h\beta)^n$. From equality (27) we obtain

$$F_5=\frac{1}{2p}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{h^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\Bigg[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}A_k\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k-1)^i}\Delta^i(\beta-1)^{2j-1}-$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_k^{-2}}{1-\lambda_k}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{1-\lambda_k}\right)\Delta^i(\beta-1)^{2j-1}+\frac{(1-\lambda_k^2)(\beta-1)^{2j-1}}{\lambda_k^2}\Bigg)+$$

$$+2e^h(\beta-1)^{2j-1}-2C\beta^{2j-1}+2e^h(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg]. \tag{28}$$

From equality (28) for $m=1$ immediately we obtain, that $F_5=0$.

Let $m=2$, then from (28) we have

$$F_5=A_1\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1-1}(\beta-1+\frac{1}{\lambda_1-1})-\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2(1-\lambda_1)}(\beta-1+\frac{\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_1})+$$

$$+\frac{(1-\lambda_1^2)(\beta-1)}{\lambda_1^2}\Bigg)+\beta(2e^h-2C+2e^h).$$

Keeping in mind (10) and (11) we obtain

$$F_5=2\beta\left(\frac{\lambda_1(e^{2h}+1)-e^h(\lambda_1^2+1)}{\lambda_1}-\frac{\lambda_1(e^{2h}+1)-e^h(\lambda_1^2+1)}{\lambda_1}\right)+$$

$$+A_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1(2-\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1-1)^2}-\frac{2\lambda_1-1}{\lambda_1^2(1-\lambda_1)^2}-\frac{1-\lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2}\right)=0$$

Let $m = 3$, then from (28), we get

$$F_5 = \frac{1}{2p}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{h^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!}\Bigg[\sum_{k=1}^{2}A_k\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k-1)^i}\Delta^i(\beta-1)^{2j-1}-$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_k^{-2}}{1-\lambda_k}\sum_{i=0}^{2j-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{1-\lambda_k}\right)\Delta^i(\beta-1)^{2j-1}+\frac{(1-\lambda_k^2)(\beta-1)^{2j-1}}{\lambda_k^2}\Bigg)+$$

$$+2e^h(\beta-1)^{2j-1}-2C\beta^{2j-1}+2e^h(\beta-1)^{2j-1}\Bigg]. \tag{29}$$

Hence for $j = 1$ using (18), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2}A_k\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k-1}\left[\beta-1+\frac{1}{\lambda_k-1}\right]-\frac{\lambda_k^{-2}}{1-\lambda_k}\left[\beta-1+\frac{\lambda_k}{1-\lambda_k}\right]+\frac{(1-\lambda_k^2)(\beta-1)}{\lambda_k^2}\Bigg)+$$

$$+\beta(4e^h-2C)=\beta\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2}A_k\frac{\lambda_k+1}{\lambda_k(\lambda_k-1)}+2e^h\sum_{k=1}^{2}\frac{\lambda_k^2+1}{\lambda_k}-2(e^h+1)^2\right)+$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{2}A_k\left(\frac{\lambda_k(2-\lambda_k)}{(\lambda_k-1)^2}-\frac{2\lambda_k-1}{\lambda_k^2(1-\lambda_k)^2}-\frac{1-\lambda_k^2}{\lambda_k^2}\right)=0.$$

From (29) for $j = 2$, grouping by powers of β and after some simplifications we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2}\Bigg(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{3}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k-1)^i}\Delta^i(\beta-1)^3-\frac{1}{\lambda_k^2(1-\lambda_k)}\sum_{i=0}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda_k}\right)\Delta^i(\beta-1)^3+$$

$$+\frac{1-\lambda_k^2}{\lambda_k^2}(\beta-1)^3\Bigg)+2e^h(\beta-1)^3-2C\beta^3+2e^h(\beta+1)^3=0.$$

So we proved that in equality (27) for $m = 1, 2, 3$ all coefficients of $e^{h\beta}$, $e^{-h\beta}$ and $(h\beta)^n$ are zero. Theorem 3 is proved.

References

1. Hamming R.W. Numerical methods for scientists and engeneers. -M.: Nauka, 1968. - 400p.
2. Sobolev S.L., Vaskevich V.L. Cubature formulas. -Novosibirsk: Institute of Mathematics SB of RAS, 1996. -484p.
3. Shadimetov Kh.M. Optimal formulas of approximate integration for differentiable functions. PhD dissertation, -Novosibirsk, 1983.
4. Sobolev S.L. Introduction to the Theory of Cubature Formulas . -M.: Nauka, 1974. - 808p.
5. Jamalov Z.J. About one problem of Wiener-Hopf arising from optimization of quadrature formulas. - In book. Boundary value problems for differential equations . - Tashkent: Fan, 1975. - pp.129-150.

6. Jamalov Z.J. About one difference analogue of the operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}$ and its construction. - In book: Direct and inverse problems for differential equations with partial derivative and their applications. - Tashkent: Fan. 1978. - pp.97-108.
7. Shadimetov Kh.M. Discrete analogue of the operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}}$ and its construction. Problems of computational and applied mathematics. -Tashkent, 1985. V.79. - pp.22-35.
8. Shadimetov Kh.M., Hayotov A.R. Construction of discrete analogue of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$. Uzbek Mathematical Journal, 2004. №2. -pp.85-95.
9. Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov. Construction of discrete analogue of a differential operator. Uzbek Mathematical Journal, 2003. №.2. pp.59-69.

Kholmat Makhkambaevich Shadimetov
Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies
Uzbek Academy of Sciences
Tashkent, 100125
Uzbekistan

Abdullo Rakhmonovich Hayotov
Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies
Uzbek Academy of Sciences
Tashkent, 100125
Uzbekistan
E-mail: abdullo_hayotov@mail.ru, hayotov@mail.ru.